



SIZA ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & EVALUATION REPORT

Quarterly Update for Q3 2021/2022

01/01/2022 – 31/03/2022



Contents

INTRODUCTION	3
1. SIZA SOCIAL (ETHICAL) AND ENVIRONMENTAL MEMBERSHIP.....	4
1.1. SIZA Membership Breakdown.....	4
1.1.1. Active Primary Membership	4
1.1.2. Active primary members per province	5
1.1.3. Active primary members by type	6
1.1.4. All active members by type	8
1.2. New Members & Renewals	9
1.2.1. New member registration	9
1.2.2. Membership renewals.....	10
1.3. Digital Recordkeeping Tool	121
1.4. SIZA Membership per Commodity	12
2. SELF-ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (SAQ)	13
3. SIZA CARES SECOND-PARTY VERIFICATIONS.....	14
3.1. SIZA CARES Verifications per Period	14
3.2. Verification Improvements	15
4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT INFORMATION	16
4.1. Environmental Audits per Period	16
4.2. Audits per Status.....	17
4.3. Audits per Risk Category.....	18
4.4. Non-Conformances	19
4.4.1. Non-conformances vs good practices per category	19
4.4.2. Non-conformances per category.....	20
4.4.3. Risk distribution.....	20
4.4.4. Average number of findings per audit.....	22
4.5. Improvements & Corrective Actions	23
5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT BEYOND THE SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT	25

INTRODUCTION

The Sustainability Initiative of South Africa, SIZA, provides a platform for agricultural stakeholders to ensure ethical and environmentally sustainable trade. This programme monitors care for the environment and compliance with labour legislation. With a vision of enabling South African agriculture to be a global leader in ensuring sustainable, ethical trade and environmental stewardship, SIZA aims to encourage continuous improvement in practices over time exceeding the minimum legal requirements.

In addition to an already well-established ethical (social) standard, the Sustainability Initiative of South Africa (SIZA) programme also includes a comprehensive and widely accepted environmental standard for suppliers in the agricultural sector. The SIZA Environmental Standard was developed in partnership with the World Wide Fund for Nature, South Africa (WWF-SA) and aims to assist South African suppliers in becoming compliant, while at the same time promoting environmental stewardship.

The SIZA environmental programme focuses on the sustainable management of the four cornerstones of the environment, namely soil; water; energy, materials, and waste; as well as biodiversity and ecosystems. The main objectives of the programme are to improve input efficiencies and to manage and mitigate environmental risks on both farm, packhouse and processing plant level. Requirements vary from practices to prevent environmental pollution and degradation, measuring and monitoring the efficiency with which finite resources such as water and energy are used, and ensuring that biodiversity and ecosystems are preserved into the future. The standard is structured in a way for suppliers to establish a starting point (baseline) on their journey to environmental sustainability, and to progress to setting SMART (**S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic and **T**ime-linked) targets in order to track progress, monitor efficiency, and drive continuous improvement.

With a vision of driving continuous improvement, SIZA Environmental audit is not a pass or fail exercise, but rather a benchmark for producers to measure their compliance against South African environmental laws and globally recognised good agricultural practices by driving continuous improvement. SIZA has adopted a third-party audit approach through which environmental audits are conducted by internationally recognised independent audit entities to ensure that the programme is credible and transparent throughout. With the objectives of eliminating duplication, driving a cost- and time effective approach, and providing better transparency to stakeholders on a global scale, the SIZA Environmental audit can be conducted either as a stand-alone or a combined solution audit together with the GLOBALG.A.P. Integrated Farm Assurance (IFA) standard.

As a pre-addition to the third-party audit process SIZA also developed and implemented the Environmental Second-Party Verification programme, called the **SIZA CARES programme** as part of its drive towards continuous improvement and to manage environmental risks within the agricultural sector.

Since the implementation of the SIZA CARES Second-Party Verification programme three years ago, it has already illustrated progress and successes where farmers have started to focus on measuring and monitoring their inputs. This has helped them to identify areas of improvement and to react proactively in managing their environmental risks. The SIZA CARES programme is a win-win recipe for everyone involved.

The Monitoring and Evaluation quarterly report provides insight into the various aspects related to the SIZA programme including statistics on the SIZA membership, annual monitoring activities, third-party audit outcomes, and trends related to risk factors. As the SIZA programme drives continuous improvement, this report provides a balanced view of high-risk areas as to allow SIZA and relevant stakeholders to engage and develop further capacity building and remediation strategies to sustain a continuous improvement drive within the agricultural industry in South Africa.

1. SIZA SOCIAL (ETHICAL) AND ENVIRONMENTAL MEMBERSHIP

1.1. SIZA Membership Breakdown


1.1.1. Active Primary Membership

Currently there are 2 461 active profiles registered on the *MySIZA* platform. As one profile can comprise multiple membership registrations (i.e. Social (Ethical) and Environmental) it is important to take the amount of registrations into consideration. Currently there are 2 112 primary members registered for the SIZA Social module, whereas 1 307 primary members are also registered for SIZA Environmental – adding up to a total of **3 419 primary registrations**.



A total of 87 new primary members registered for SIZA Social and 17 new primary members registered for SIZA Environmental in this period.

1.1.2. Active primary members per province



With the Western Cape being known for providing production stability to fruit production and being one of South Africa's most important provinces for the export of fruit products, the Western Cape records the highest SIZA membership across the nine provinces. Currently the Western Cape is home to 749 Environmental members, followed by the Eastern Cape (205) and Limpopo (152).


1.1.3. Active primary members by type

SIZA membership is structured into different levels to ensure that different functionalities can be allocated to the different types of membership. Secondary members (Level 4 – 6) can be linked to primary members (levels 1 – 3) who supplied to them to ensure that buyers have visibility and traceability on the suppliers who supply to them. This also ensure confidentiality and the protection of data.

Definitions as indicated below are the same for the Social (Ethical) and Environmental modules because they are two separate standards/modules.

Level 1a:	Producer A single production site.
Level 1b:	Producer/packhouse A single production site including a packing/processing facility on site, packing/processing their own product.
Level 1c:	Smallholders/Emerging Growers Producers who need to become compliant and have never undergone an audit who form part of the SIZA Emerging Growers Compliance Programme over a 3-year period.
Level 1d:	Temporary Employment Services (Labour Brokers) A person or company that provides labourers to client companies on a temporary basis.
Level 2:	Packhouse/processing facility An independent packhouse/processing facility which packs/processes on behalf of primary producers.
Level 3b:	Multisite The multi-site principle is applied where the organisation and multiple sites are registered as one legal entity and have one management system that is centrally controlled at a head office base.
Level 4a:	Export agent
Level 4b:	Import Agent
Level 5a:	Retailer
Level 5b:	Minor Industry Association Industry representing under 5 000 hectares.
Level 5c:	Major Industry Association Industry representing above 5 000 hectares.
Level 6:	Not-for-Profit organisation An organisation that does not need to be linked to Level 1 member sites. For example, NGO, trade union, or other non-profit entity not requiring visibility of Level 1 member sites.
Level 7a:	Social (Ethical) Audit Firms
Level 7b:	Environmental Audit Firms
Level 7c:	Independent Greenhouse Gas Specialists A company that specialises in calculating a site's carbon footprint within the agricultural sector.
Level 8:	Training Service Providers/Consultants/Remedy Programmes Companies who are recognised by SIZA and who need to be visible as recommended programmes to the producers.

Active Primary Members by Type per Province




	Eastern Cape	Free State	Gauteng	KwaZulu-Natal	Limpopo	Mpumalanga	Northern Cape	North West	Western Cape	Unknown
Multi-site (Level 3)	27	2	1	1	28	7	10	1	100	4
Packhouse (Level 2)	11	1	2	2	13	5	2	0	35	0
Temporary Employment Service (Level 1d)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smallholder (Level 1c)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Producer with Packhouse (Level 1b)	17	1	2	7	35	24	29	7	165	3
Producer (Level 1a)	150	3	7	10	76	44	18	8	449	6

Level 1a members continue to make up the largest percentage of membership, and currently represents 58,72% of all primary members. Since fruit producers have the biggest representation on the MySIZA platform, out of the total registrations for level 1a members, 749 members (57,04%) are based in the Western Cape.

1.1.4. All active members by type

The *MySIZA* platform acts as a risk management tool for both the producer and the buyer, as secondary members (exporters, importers, and retailers) can manage risk within their supply chain by having visibility on the performance of their linked members for both social and/or environmental. Currently there are a total of 123 secondary members, (buyers/retailers, importers, and exporters) and 53 tertiary members (auditors, consultants & remedy providers) registered on *MySIZA*.

All Active Members by Type per Province




	Eastern Cape	Free State	Gauteng	KwaZulu-Natal	Limpopo	Mpumalanga	Northern Cape	North West	Western Cape	Unknown
■ Producer (Level 1a)	150	3	7	10	76	44	18	8	449	6
■ Producer with Packhouse (Level 1b)	17	1	2	7	35	24	29	7	165	3
■ Smallholder (Level 1c)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
■ Temporary Employment Service (Level 1d)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
■ Packhouse (Level 2)	11	1	2	2	13	5	2	0	35	0
■ Multi-site (Level 3)	27	2	1	1	28	7	10	1	100	4
■ Exporter (Level 4a)	9	0	3	1	3	2	1	0	54	5
■ Importer (Level 4b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	30
■ Retailer (Level 5a)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6
■ Minor Industry Association (Level 5b)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
■ Major Industry Association (Level 5c)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0
■ Not for Profit (Level 6)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
■ Social Audit Companies (Level 7a)	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
■ Enviro Audit Companies (Level 7b)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
■ Consultants (Level 8)	4	0	3	1	6	4	0	0	21	2

1.2. New Members and Renewals


With SIZA being a multi-sector agricultural solution, the ongoing aim is to have all production units within South Africa to be registered for SIZA Social and/or SIZA Environmental as a drive towards being a global leader in ethical trade and environmental sustainability. This section indicates the growth of the SIZA membership per period. One of the reasons for this growth can refer to the fact that SIZA expanded its practices to become a multisector, agri-wide compliance programme.

1.2.1. New member registration



Since 2018, a total of 358 new members registered for the SIZA Environmental module, while 17 new members registered for SIZA Environmental during the current reporting quarter. Members who were already registered for SIZA Social (Ethical) who added the Environmental module to their profile later on, are not represented in this graph.

1.2.2. Membership renewals




A total of 278 subscriptions that expire in Q3 of 2021/2022 were renewed in this period. 45,32% (126) of these were in the Western Cape. Membership renewal indicates that suppliers are maintaining their environmental compliance status.

1.3. Digital Recordkeeping

With a vision of enabling South African agriculture to be a global leader in ensuring sustainable, ethical trade and environmental stewardship, SIZA went live with the first phase of its Digital Recordkeeping Tool at the end of September 2021. With SIZA being a multi-sector agricultural programme, the programme is available to all sectors and commodities for both local and international members. Currently there are 72 members who have already signed up for Digital Recordkeeping who will start capturing their production inputs online. Members who signed up for Digital Recordkeeping received training prior to setting up their profile, as it is important that the correct information is used from the start.

As recordkeeping and target setting form the foundation of continuous improvement, SIZA Digital Recordkeeping will enable producers to keep record of their production inputs and assess whether they are on track to meet their goals and to put practice into perspective, as standardised methods and calculations are used to track progress over time. In addition, as the majority of the data captured as part of the Digital Recordkeeping Tool also contributes to the carbon footprint of a business, phase two of the development will include a built-in functionality where members can request their carbon footprint to be calculated. Phase two of the development is planned to be completed by April 2022.



1.4. Membership per Commodity


As SIZA is a multi-sector agricultural solution, the *MySIZA* platform allows for a very detailed breakdown of commodities. SIZA members have contributed to completing the data, and now that *MySIZA* has been live for a full year, all profiles have indicated their commodities as follows:

Commodity	All South Africa		Western Cape	
	Number of commodity represented in SIZA membership	% of total commodities indicated	Number of commodity represented in SIZA membership	% of total commodities indicated
Citrus	551	26,29%	258	18,11%
Stone Fruit	292	13,93%	277	19,44%
Pome Fruit	279	13,31%	254	17,82%
Table Grapes	221	10,54%	220	15,44%
Subtropical	149	7,11%	9	0,63%
Wine Grapes	133	6,35%	128	8,98%
Berries	98	4,68%	54	3,79%
Fresh Vegetables	87	4,15%	65	4,56%
Nuts & Seeds	72	3,44%	5	0,35%
Pomegranates	28	1,34%	25	1,75%
Figs	26	1,24%	23	1,61%
Canning Fruit	19	0,91%	18	1,26%
Dried Fruit	16	0,76%	7	0,49%
Persimmons	14	0,67%	14	0,98%
Olives	14	0,67%	14	0,98%
Tea & Beverages	14	0,67%	14	0,98%
Bananas	13	0,62%	0	0,00%
Flowers & Ornamentals	13	0,62%	11	0,77%
Guavas	10	0,48%	5	0,35%
Granadilla	9	0,43%	3	0,21%
Grain, Bread & Bakery Products	9	0,43%	5	0,35%
Kiwis	7	0,33%	2	0,14%
Meat	6	0,29%	4	0,28%
Wool / Mohair	4	0,19%	4	0,28%
Sugar	4	0,19%	0	0,00%
Dragon Fruit	2	0,10%	1	0,07%
Eggs	2	0,10%	1	0,07%
Dairy Products	2	0,10%	2	0,14%
Poultry	1	0,05%	1	0,07%
Seasoning & Preservatives	1	0,05%	1	0,07%
Pineapples	0	0,00%	0	0,00%
Hops	0	0,00%	0	0,00%
Marine	0	0,00%	0	0,00%
Other	25	1,19%	14	0,98%

2. SELF-ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE (SAQ)

The purpose of the SAQ is for primary members to have an opportunity for self-evaluation and to benchmark against South African legislation. SAQs are also important for the auditing process, as auditors use the SAQ information as a benchmark during third-party audits. SIZA also uses the SAQ as a between audit online monitoring tool to ensure that audit results are maintained between audits.

SAQs indicate growth and improvement year on year, as the primary members are required to complete their SAQs annually. MySIZA allows for feedback to the member by means of a risk indicator, which scores each answer according to the risk it holds.




The average score for all Environmental SAQs approved in Q3 is 87,36%. The highest score for an approved SAQ in this period is 97,45%. SIZA admin try to only approve SAQs for existing members with a risk score above 85% now, which is 5% higher than 2020. SIZA admin assist new members with low scores to improve their scores every year before approval.

3. SIZA CARES SECOND-PARTY VERIFICATION PROGRAMME

As part of the drive for continuous improvement, SIZA launched the environmental second-party verification programme, also known as SIZA CARES (Creating Awareness and Resilience in Environmental Sustainability) in 2019. The aim of the second-party verification process is to verify the environmental SAQ and to assist suppliers with technical support and advice to improve environmental practices.

Since September 2019 members had the option to be selected for a SIZA CARES Second-Party Verification as part of sample-based programme depending on the requirements of the market they supply. A total of 236 verifications have already been conducted by SIZA verifiers. In Q3 of 2021/2022 9 verifications were completed.


3.1. SIZA CARES Verifications per Period



As SIZA CARES site visits are designed to create awareness and drive continuous improvements, site visits are scheduled outside of peak season to ensure for optimal interaction with management. These site visits are then strategically scheduled to ensure that the availability of the environmental specialists is used optimally.

3.2. Verification Improvements

Since the implementation of the SIZA CARES programme, over 236 verifications have been conducted. As this programme is conducted in preparation of third-party audits, it provides a clear indication of where improvement is needed and what the focus areas should be for awareness raising initiatives.



Management Systems and Measured & Efficient Use are the areas where the biggest need for improvements has been identified. Overall, these two sections account for 61,80% of all findings.


With SIZA being a continuous improvement programme, the focus is to drive improvements on any findings made during audits or verifications.

4. ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT INFORMATION

4.1. Environmental Audits per Period


SIZA adopted a third-party audit approach to allow for a credible and objective process which can be a true reflection of the audit outcome and environmental practices in a business. A SIZA Environmental audit is not a pass or fail exercise, but rather aimed at assisting agriculture to be aware of their environmental risks and to drive continuous improvement towards the implementation of environmentally friendly practices. The purpose of the third-party audit is to monitor compliance against environmental legislation through the SIZA Environmental Standard by evaluating and identifying areas within the business that are non-compliant and require corrective- and remedial actions.

With the objectives of eliminating duplication, driving a cost- and time effective approach, and providing better transparency to stakeholders on a global scale, the SIZA Environmental audit can be conducted either as a stand-alone or a combined solution audit together with the GLOBALG.A.P. Integrated Farm Assurance (IFA) standard. While the respective audits may take place at the same time, two separate and comprehensive reports will be issued, and the SIZA Environmental Audit Report will be uploaded to the MySIZA online platform to ensure visibility in terms of environmental compliance to all relevant stakeholders. For the convenience of buyers, data will also be displayed through the GLOBALG.A.P. platform.



For Q3 of 2021/2022 a total of 30 environmental audits were conducted. The increase in the number of environmental audits can be attributed to the fact that certain markets indicated that producers must be audited by an environmental sustainability standard from 2022 onwards.

4.2. Audits per Status




The emphasis in the SIZA programme is on continuous improvement to create better circumstances for all living and working on farms. Therefore, it is important to note that no audit confirmation letter can be issued if all corrective actions are not completed and signed off by the auditor. If audits are not in completed status, it means that the audit process is not completed in full.

Of the 30 audits that were conducted during Q3, 15 have already been completed and 11 are approved and awaiting corrective actions which must be uploaded by the producer. It is important to note that timelines are allocated to all the steps in the audit process for both the auditor and the auditee.

4.3. Audits per Risk Category

The SIZA Environmental programme subscribes to being improvement-led rather than audit-led and uses the [SIZA audit frequency matrix](#) to support this position. It creates four categories of risk: Platinum, Gold, Silver, and Bronze where the Platinum category has the most stringent qualifying criteria. A SIZA member will be rated according to these risk categories, based on the number and rating of each finding during their audit. As the business must drive continuous improvement and implement the required practices within their business, they should aim to get fewer findings and a higher rating during their next audit.



23 of the approved and completed audits for Q3 2021/2022 achieved Platinum status. As Platinum and Gold is the maximum SIZA audit rating that can be achieved by a primary member, it is a good indication of the industry's commitment towards environmental sustainability and taking care of the environment.


4.4. Non-Conformances

The non-conformances raised during audits are indicative of the risk areas in the industry. Although the total number of non-conformances may increase slightly between periods, this can be explained by the number of audits increasing, as well as increased training among auditors, leading them to be able to identify more areas of non-conformance. SIZA also expanded the audit checklist as well as some new requirements that were included over the last couple of years. It remains evident that SIZA members continue to improve their practices, which is supported through the various training programmes and guidance tools provided by SIZA. This support proves to be of great assistance when rectifying and improving non-conformances.

The SIZA programme monitors compliances in the following areas:

- Management systems
- Measured and Efficient Use
- Minimizing Negative Impacts
- Restore and Conserve Ecosystems
- Shifting to Alternatives

4.4.1. Non-conformances vs good practices per category




During this period, it is interesting to note that there were 34 good practices raised under Management Systems, Minimizing Negative Impacts, Measured and Efficient use, and Shifting to Alternatives. There has also been a noteworthy increase in the number of good practices raised during this period. This increase can be explained due to better calibration efforts from auditors on reporting good practices more effectively. Another reason for this increase can be due to members wanting to showcase a more balanced view of their business practices by implementing a best practice approach rather than just focusing on minimum compliance.

4.4.2. Non-conformances per category

In Q3, most non-conformances (85,92%) fell in three categories, namely:

- Minimizing Negative Impacts (51);
- Shifting to Alternatives (39); and
- Management Systems (32)



As a measurement in looking at the top three areas of non-conformances, the various audit outcomes under the three top categories can be explained as follows:

Minimizing Negative Impacts

As a high number of non-conformances are raised under this section, it is relevant to note that this section also covers the most questions and requirement areas during an audit which contributes to the number of non-conformances raised. In general, the largest areas of non-conformances within this section lie with the following themes:

- Training of relevant staff in the safe and appropriate IAP clearing methods.
- Chemical filling points not being constructed according to SANS 10206.
- Management not in possession of an invasive alien plant (IAP) clearing plan

Shifting to Alternatives

As the second largest area of non-conformances lies within shifting to alternatives, where results of production inputs and waste production should be used to implement reduction plans in order to improve efficiency and reduce risk on the environment. In general, the largest areas of non-conformances within this section lie with the following themes:

- Implementing an energy/emission reduction plan based on the result of a carbon footprint calculation.
- Implementing a plan to shift from chemical products toward more environmentally friendly products
- Making use of accurate waste production records to track progress made towards waste reduction and increase in recycling.

Management Systems

The third largest area of non-conformances lies with the implementation of management systems and providing documented proof that management are in possession of plans and procedures to address associated risks. In general, the largest areas of non-conformances within this section lie with the following themes:

- Assessing the business's risk in relation to biodiversity and emissions to air
- Evidence of water registrations not available on the day of site visit.
- Communication of environmental management policy

4.4.3. Risk distribution

The risk of individual producers is categorised by using a scoring matrix which divides risks into categories of Critical, Major, Minor, Observations, Recommendations, and Good Practices. Non-compliances and Observations are classified according to:

- The frequency of the problem and whether the issue is an isolated occurrence.
- The potential severity of the problem.
- The probability of recurrence.
- The management system in place.
- The response of the management

A minor non-compliance is:


- An occasional or isolated problem.
- An issue which presents a low risk to the environment.
- A policy issue or misunderstanding where there is no evidence of a material breach.

A major non-compliance is:

- A breach which represents a danger to the environment.
- A material breach of a code requirement/law.
- A systemic violation of a code requirement/law.


A critical non-compliance is:

- An issue which presents imminent risk to the environment;
- A major non-compliance that has not been addressed or for which no significant improvement has been made by the time of a follow up audit, despite supplier commitment to resolve the issue.
- An attempt to pervert the course of the audit through fraud, coercion, deception, or interference.



4.4.4. Average number of findings per audit

The graph below indicates the average number of findings that are raised per audit. During Q3 2021/2022 reporting period, an average of 6,77 findings were raised per audit.




Inline with the average number of findings for audits that were conducted in Q3 2021/2022, the average risk rating for Environmental Audits is Platinum. The overall risk rating of a SIZA member is calculated on the SIZA Audit Frequency Risk Matrix, available here: <https://siza.co.za/wp-content/uploads/Annexure-1-SIZA-Environmental-Audit-Frequency-Matrix.pdf>.

4.5. Improvements and Corrective Actions

As SIZA is a continuous improvement programme, there is a strong focus on driving improvement on findings during audits. The below graph shows that the majority of findings are closed out timeously during the given timeframes. The submission and closing of findings before the given deadlines is very important as this showcases the commitment from producers to rectify areas of non-compliances, and the audit validity of a producer will be affected if corrective actions are not completed within these deadlines. This will result in more frequent audits, regardless of the initial risk rating received. SIZA actively motivates and supports producers with the completion of the corrective actions which drives improvement within their own business, as well as throughout the agricultural industry. With each corrective action, the business is growing and improving their practices which in turn benefits the workforce and economy.

Period	Open	Document Uploaded	Improvement Uploaded	Expired	Rejected	Closed	Closed Late
Q1 2021/2022	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	12,00%	86,00%	2,00%
Q2 2021/2022	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	0,00%	100,00%	0,00%
Q3 2021/2022	16,84%	2,11%	0,00%	0,00%	0,53%	80,53%	0,00%
Q4 2021/2022							

The graph below indicates how non-conformances are closed out over time. Expired non-conformances will result in a follow-up audit during the 12 months following the audit date, while open non-conformances indicate that the producer still needs to upload corrective action(s) in order to show improvement.



5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT BEYOND THE SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT

Auditing has been a catalyst for driving sustainable change in the global supply chain for several years. The number of workplace violations were reduced through a principle of driving continuous improvement. Conducting audits on farms, pack houses, processing facilities, and workplaces in general has provided objective measurement to benchmark progress. Yet, auditing is not the only solution. To support SIZA's requirements and drive to ensure businesses' commitment toward their community, the Best Practice online programme was implemented by SIZA in 2018, gives producers the opportunity to showcase areas where they feel they have reached more than compliance, engage in their community, create value, and sustain practices beyond the audit process.

Members can upload details of their community engagement efforts and best practices on *MySIZA*, after which they are reviewed by SIZA admin. If approved, they will reflect as a Best Practices programme in the producer's member listing on *MySIZA*. It will also, together with other data, be visible to linked members (exporters, importers, and retailers) of choice. With this initiative, we aim to showcase best practices of producers and at the same time try to create a balanced view of ethical assurance practices in agriculture by highlighting the various community benefits and impacts achieved by agri-businesses.

The drive toward continuous improvement has been noticed with a significant growth in the submission of community engagement efforts and best practices as part of this online initiative. Producers' drive toward community engagement and having a positive impact on their neighbouring community, town, and area has been of great value. Some of the practices noted are:

- School support provided by producers to employees' children, sometimes also including on-farm schools and educational facilities.
- After care facilities and development programmes.
- Clinics and healthcare facilities onsite.
- Community health roadshows and educational days.
- Facilities made available for women and men from nearby communities to engage in crafts and art and contribute to producing products that in turn support their families.
- Pension funds and contributions to retirement annuities.
- Sport facilities and encouragement (proves very popular with teens and families on farms).
- Housing benefits (free housing on farm, employer provides/buys accommodation at nearby town for employee/family etc.).
- Clearing of invasive alien plants beyond farm border.
- Eco-energy implementation on farms and processing facilities, removing reliance on electricity from the grid.
- Carbon neutrality by balancing practices to put back oxygen into the atmosphere.
- Awareness-raising initiatives on becoming more aware of environmental conservation and sustainability as a whole.

The above is merely a highlight of some of the admirable practices offered by producers across South Africa. The importance of ensuring there is engagement with local communities is an embedded culture within South African agriculture that has survived over many decades and seems to increase with time.

To date, 279 Social (Ethical) and 18 Environmental Best Practices have been approved on *MySIZA*.

Best Practice Entries

